

## **DETAILED ACTION / Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**

To expedite prosecution, Applicant has complied the Examiner's suggestion for claim amendment where applicable. The Applicant would like to bring to the Examiner's attention in particular following amendments:

- Claim 1, Line 8: 'laterally extending' has been replaced by 'in the vicinity'. The Examiner's suggestion of 'laterally positioned' is rejected by the Applicant since this term excludes the case where a device is positioned on the main axis.
- The 'main operating axis' has been replaced by 'main operation direction' in the claims supported throughout the specification and drawings with numeral 332.
- Claim 1, Line 9 and Claim 5, Line 17: the Applicant traverses the Examiner's suggestion and holds that physiognomic axis is defined in combination with the fully defined assembly and not in context with a single component.
- Claim 1, Line 11 and Claim 5, Line 18: the Applicant holds that a symmetry axis is well known in the art as symmetrically dividing an angle. This common knowledge applied to the two preferably perpendicular walls 301, 302, means that the symmetry axis is protruding in the middle between the perpendicular walls away from the corner.

## **DETAILED ACTION / Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102**

To expedite prosecution, the Applicant included the limitations of Claim 4 into Claim 1 to read on a device as indicated by the Examiner under allowable subject matter. Accordingly, the Applicant has cancelled Claim 4. In addition and to also expedite prosecution, the Applicant has cancelled Claim 7.

### **DETAILED ACTION / Allowable Subject Matter**

The Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter. To expedite prosecution, the Applicants have complied with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter.